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Number of post 24 week terminations in Victoria

Very few post 24 weeks terminations are undertaken in Victoria. In 2004, 140 women underwent terminations later than 23 weeks gestation in Victoria\(^1\). From 2000 to 2004, only 35 terminations were undertaken post 28 weeks in Victoria. The vast majority of these were for foetal abnormality. For Victorian women who had post 24 week terminations in 2004 for other reasons (listed as psychosocial), most of these were young women (under 25 years).

A lack of accurate data collection in Victoria makes it difficult to identify the exact number of terminations carried out and what percentage of all terminations are undertaken post 24 weeks gestation. The Department of Human Services has noted that Victorian data on 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) trimester terminations may ‘seriously overestimate’ the number actually carried out as other procedures may have been recorded using the same data code\(^2,3\).

One effect of legislative change in Western Australia\(^4\) is the mandatory collection of annual statistics on induced abortion. These statistics show number of terminations performed after 24 weeks gestation is a relatively small proportion of total terminations performed. In fact between 1998 and 2003, terminations performed after 24 weeks gestation amounted to 0.06% of all performed\(^5\). The Western Australian Department of Health has noted that since legislative changes, rates have remained stable for both pre and post 20 week terminations\(^6\). Similar rates are reported in the UK where a 24 week limit on most abortions was introduced in 1991. Since this time, there has been no clear rising trend in the number of post 24 week terminations – the numbers varying between 60 and 101 per year. The majority of these terminations were for fetal abnormality\(^7\).

---


\(^2\) Medicare items which may result in abortive outcomes (items 16525 and 35643) are also used for procedures that are not abortions per se, such as those carried out following a miscarriage. It is not possible to differentiate between different procedures reported under the same item number. The Medicare Benefits Schedule definition of these items is as follows. Item 16525: management of second trimester labour, with or without induction, for intrauterine foetal death, gross foetal abnormality or life threatening maternal disease, not being a service to which item 35643 applies. Medicare item 35643: evacuation of the contents of the gravid uterus by curettage or suction curettage.


\(^4\) In 1998, the Acts Amendment (Abortion) Act was enacted in Western Australian. It made changes to Criminal Code and a new section was added to the Health Act the provision of the termination. The result was that it is lawful to perform a termination where it is (i) performed by a medical practitioner in good faith and with reasonable care and skill; and (ii) justified under section 334 of the Health Act.


What are the reasons for post 24 week terminations?
The vast majority of terminations are undertaken prior to 24 weeks. The British Medical Association\(^8\) has identified a number of factors affecting the timing of the decision to terminate. These are as follows:

- **Failure to recognise the pregnancy earlier.** Some women do not recognise that they are pregnant until late into the pregnancy. These are often younger women, whose bodies are still developing, and pre and peri menopausal women, who do not expect to be pregnant at this stage of their lives.

- **Delay in seeking abortion due to personal circumstances.** Delays in seeking abortion are often due to the woman’s apprehension (including difficulty in confiding in parents or partner), failure of anticipated emotional or economic support (from family, partner, or employer), or an unanticipated change in the woman’s socio-economic circumstances (in relation to her partner, or others dependent on her as a carer).

- **Diagnosis of foetal abnormality.** Many abnormalities are not diagnosed until the latter part of the second trimester and the woman needs time to consider the information provided, to come to terms with it and make a decision about how to proceed.

- **Difficulty in accessing abortion.** Some women make a decision to have an abortion earlier in pregnancy but experience delays in accessing the service.

These reasons are echoed in a review of the evidence regarding terminations in the 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) trimester\(^9\). This report suggests that in at least 80% of cases, for the reasons outlined above, women seeking terminations later in their pregnancy did not realise they needed to request abortion until they were more than three months pregnant.

The Public Health Association of Australia have noted that advances in scanning and testing for foetal abnormalities together with the increased risk of foetal abnormalities for women choosing to have children later in life may be responsible for any increases in numbers of second and third trimester terminations\(^10\).

Legal status of termination prior to 24 weeks in Victoria
Laws that regulate the practice of medicine apply to the provision of termination. In addition, under Victorian law, termination of pregnancy is currently in the Crimes Act.

Section 65 of the Victorian Crimes Act (1958) outlines that an unlawful abortion carries a sentence of a maximum 10 years in gaol. Section 66 states that anyone supplying or procuring goods to cause an abortion is liable to a maximum of 5 years in jail\(^11\). However, the legislation does not define what constitutes a legal termination. A judicial

---


\(^11\) Crimes Act 1958 (Vic), s65-66
interpretation of the legislation was provided by the late Justice Menhennitt in his 1969\(^{12}\).

In accordance with Justice Menhennitt's ruling, a termination is lawful if the person performing it honestly believes on reasonable grounds that it is ‘necessary’ and ‘proportionate’. That is necessary to preserve the pregnant woman from a serious danger to her life or to her physical or mental health, beyond the normal dangers of pregnancy and childbirth. Proportionate in that the risks inherent in performing the termination were not out of proportion to the danger to be averted. As a result of this ruling, termination of pregnancy is generally thought of as legal in Victoria. The Menhennitt ruling did not put an upper gestational limit on termination.

**Legal status of termination post 24 weeks in Victoria**

Termination of pregnancy is also referred to in Section 10 of the Crimes Act (1958)\(^{13}\). This section relates to the offence of child destruction. It defines child destruction as unlawfully causing the death of a child capable of existence independent of its mother. It defines “capable of being born alive” as 28 weeks or more gestation. This brings a sentence of a maximum 15 years in jail.

Advances in medical technology provide medical practitioners and their patients increased opportunity for foetal screening and subsequently greater information about the health status of the foetus. This is particularly salient as women are having children later in life and therefore subject to greater risk of foetal abnormalities. Advances in medical technology have also increased our capacity to maintain the life of babies born prematurely.

**Post 24 weeks termination in other jurisdictions**

South Australian law regarding 2\(^{nd}\) and 3\(^{rd}\) trimester termination is very similar to Victorian except that the penalty is life imprisonment. The laws vary in other states. Most identify some crime similar to that of ‘child destruction’ but not all are clear as to whether this relates to post 20 week termination. In Tasmania it is a crime to cause the death of a child “who has not become a human being” unless it is necessary to preserve the life of the woman concerned\(^{14}\).

In Western Australia the crime of “killing an unborn child” attracts a life imprisonment\(^{15}\). Similar penalties exist in the Northern Territory and in Queensland. Queensland criminal law refers to destroying “a child” before birth but does not refer to gestational stage. In the Australian Capital Territory, it is a crime to “prevent a child being born alive” or ‘contribute to the child’s death”. There is no child destruction offence in New South Wales.

Overseas experience demonstrates that countries with lower rates of termination tend to have more liberal laws than Australia\(^{16}\). Germany and the Netherlands are two examples of this.

---

\(^{12}\) R v Davidson (1969) VR 667 (Menhennitt J)

\(^{13}\) Crimes Act 1958 (Vic), s10

\(^{14}\) Criminal Code1924 (Tas), s165

\(^{15}\) Criminal Code 1913 (WA), s290

How do we reduce the number of post 24 weeks terminations?
The decision to continue or terminate an unplanned and/or unwanted pregnancy can be difficult for many women\textsuperscript{17}. These decisions should be made without pressure from others and women should never be made to feel guilty or judged for their decision. As outlined by the Public Health Association of Australia, control of fertility is crucial to a woman’s ability to maintain her health\textsuperscript{18}.

There will always be demand for second and third trimester abortions and provision must be made for such services to be available. It is not appropriate that women’s access to these health services is controlled through the Crimes Act. Collection of accurate data on termination is important to assist in the development and provision of methods to reduce the incidence of unwanted pregnancies as well as the provision of support for women experiencing them. In addition a comprehensive statewide sexual and reproductive health policy is needed in Victoria. This should include the following:

- Improved access to and provision of termination services, including removal of abortion from the Crimes Act in Victoria
- Unbiased, relevant and accurate information and support for women experiencing an unwanted pregnancy
- More thorough and inclusive sex education in schools
- Increased access to, and counselling on, appropriate contraceptive choices
- The protection of privacy of medical records, particularly for young people
- Maintaining affordable contraception
- Protecting access to over the counter emergency contraception and making it available in a variety of settings.
